SAMPLE BALLOT
PRIMARY ELECTION
TUESDAY, AUGUST 4, 2026
SHANNON COUNTY, MISSOURI

NOTICE OF ELECTION
Notice is hereby given that the Primary Election will be held in the County of Shannon on Tuesday, August 4, 2026 as certified to this office by the participating entities of Shannon County. The ballot for the Election shall be in substantially the following form.

REPUBLICAN PARTY

FOR STATE AUDITOR
Vote For ONE
- SCOTT FITZPATRICK
- GERALD (JERRY) WISTRAND JR.

FOR UNITED STATES REPRESENTATIVE DISTRICT 8
Vote For ONE
- JASON T. SMITH
- GORDON HESLOP

FOR STATE REPRESENTATIVE DISTRICT 144
Vote For ONE
- CHRIS DODSON DINKINS
- TONY HARBISON

FOR STATE REPRESENTATIVE DISTRICT 153
Vote For ONE
- KEITH WAYNE ELLIOTT

FOR PRESIDING COMMISSIONER
Vote For ONE
- BETH STEWART LONG
- STACY BRUMBLE
- DENNIS BAKER

FOR COUNTY CLERK
Vote For ONE
- SHELLY BLAND

FOR TREASURER
Vote For ONE
- MICHELLE OSBORNE SHEDD

FOR COLLECTOR
Vote For ONE
- SUSIE BLAND NEEDELS

DEMOCRATIC PARTY

FOR STATE AUDITOR
Vote For ONE
- QUENTIN WILSON
- GREGORY UPCHURCH

FOR UNITED STATES REPRESENTATIVE DISTRICT 8
Vote For ONE
- CHRIS REICHARD
- FRANK A. BARNITZ

FOR STATE REPRESENTATIVE DISTRICT 144
Vote For ONE
- ANDREW J EYE

FOR STATE REPRESENTATIVE DISTRICT 153
Vote For ONE
- LES MAJORS

FOR ASSOCIATE CIRCUIT JUDGE
Vote For ONE
- SANDRA BREWER

FOR PRESIDING COMMISSIONER
Vote For ONE
- KENNY SCHULZ

FOR CIRCUIT CLERK/ RECORDER OF DEEDS
Vote For ONE
- MELANY D WILLIAMS

FOR TREASURER
Vote For ONE
- DEBRA BLACKBURN

FOR PROSECUTING ATTORNEY
Vote For ONE
- WILLIAM CAMM SEAY

LIBERTARIAN PARTY

FOR STATE AUDITOR
Vote For ONE
- DUSTIN COFFELL

FOR UNITED STATES REPRESENTATIVE DISTRICT 8
Vote For ONE
- REBECCA SHARPE LOMBARD

NON-PARTISAN

CONSTITUTIONAL AMENDMENT NO. 1

Proposed by Article IV, Section 47(c) (Second Regular Session) SJR No. 1

Shall Missouri continue for 10 years the one-tenth of one percent sales/use tax that is used for soil and water conservation and for state parks and historic sites, and resubmit this tax to the voters for approval in 10 years?

The measure allows continued collection of the existing sales and use tax, which generates revenue of approximately $140 million annually.

- ⬭ **YES**
- ⬭ **NO**

CONSTITUTIONAL AMENDMENT NO. 2

Proposed by 103rd General Assembly, (First Regular Session) HCS HJR Nos. 23 & 3

Shall the Missouri Constitution be amended to:

- require all charter counties, including Jackson County, to provide for the election of a county assessor; and
- require assessors in all charter counties to comply with any training requirements established by general law?

State and local governmental entities estimate no costs or savings.

- ⬭ **YES**
- ⬭ **NO**

CONSTITUTIONAL AMENDMENT NO. 4

Proposed by 103rd General Assembly (Second Extraordinary Session) HCS HJR No. 3

Shall the Missouri Constitution be amended to:

- Modify current requirements that a statewide majority of voters may approve initiative petitions to amend the constitution;
- Require a majority of voters in each congressional district to approve initiative petitions to amend the constitution; and
- Make available to each voter the full text of initiative petitions with their ballot?

The Department of Corrections estimates increased annual costs of up to $21,817. The Office of State Public Defender estimates an unknown fiscal impact. Other state governmental entities estimate no costs or savings. Local governmental entities estimate no costs or savings.

- ⬭ **YES**
- ⬭ **NO**

CONSTITUTIONAL AMENDMENT NO. 5

Proposed by 103rd General Assembly, (Second Regular Session) SS SCS HCS HJR Nos. 173 & 174

Shall the Missouri Constitution be amended to:

- Require legislative phase-out of the individual state income tax based on revenue growth, and authorize the expansion of sales and use taxes;
- Curtail constitutional limits on taxing goods and services; and
- Require local tax rate cuts without reducing school funding if local sales tax revenue increases?

The proposal has no direct impact on state or local tax revenue. If passed, implementing legislation will have an unknown impact to state and local tax revenue. If implemented, state government entities expect a reduction of $57,000 annually in income tax check-off donations and implementation costs of at least $100,000.

- ⬭ **YES**
- ⬭ **NO**